1 2 3 4 5 6 7	James R. Touchstone, SBN 184584 Denise L. Rocawich, SBN 232792 Ryan R. Jones, SBN 228935 jrt@jones-mayer.com; dlr@jones-mayer.com; rrj@jones-mayer.com JONES & MAYER 3777 North Harbor Boulevard Fullerton, CA 92835 Telephone: (714) 446-1400 / Facsimile: (714) 446-1448  Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF FULLERTON	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DAVID TOVAR, an individual	Case No: SACV 13-00453 DOC (ANx)
12	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
13	vs.	
14	CITY OF FULLERTON,	
15	DEPARTMENT, DOE OFFICERS OF THE FULLERTON POLICE	[Complaint filed: March 19, 2013]
16	DEPARTMENT and DOES 1through 10, inclusive,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19 20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	I	-1-

### ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

Answering Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint for (1) Violation of Civil Rights Under Color of Law Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, 1985, 1986 and 1988; (2) Violation of California Civil Code § 51.7; (3) Violation of California Civil Code § 52.1; (4) Assault; (5) Battery; and (6) Negligence, Defendants City of Fullerton<sup>1</sup> and Officer Bryan Bybee (collectively "Fullerton Defendants"), admit, deny, and allege as follows:

#### **INTRODUCTION**

- 1. Answering paragraph 1 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 2. Answering paragraph 2 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 2 of the Second Amended Complaint.

### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. Answering paragraph 3 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 4. Answering paragraph 4 of the Second Amended Complaint, Fullerton Defendants admit that this Court has jurisdiction to hear the claims under 42

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Fullerton Police Department was erroneously sued as a separate entity.

8 9

11

10

13

12

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

U.S.C. § 1983, 1985, 1986 and 1988, and pursuant to the original jurisdiction afforded under 28 U.S.C. § 1331. Except as so admitted, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 4.

Answering paragraph 5 of the Second Amended Complaint, Fullerton 5. Defendants are admit that this Court currently has supplemental jurisdiction to hear the state law claims. Except as so admitted, Fullerton Defendants are deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 5.

#### <u>VENUE</u>

Answering paragraph 6 of the Second Amended Complaint, Fullerton 6. Defendants admit that venue is proper, though Plaintiff's reference to 28 U.S.C. § 1891 is misplaced. This is likely a simple typographical error as 28 U.S.C. § 1391 is the correct citation. Fullerton Defendants are admit the stated location of the bicycle accident. Except as so admitted, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 6.

## **PARTIES**

- Answering paragraph 7 of the Second Amended Complaint, Fullerton 7. Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 8 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- Answering paragraph 8 of the Second Amended Complaint, Fullerton 8. Defendants admit the allegations in paragraph 8.
- Answering paragraph 9 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as 9. the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required; to the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants admit the Fullerton Police Department is a department of the City of Fullerton. The Police Department is not a separate entity from the City of Fullerton. Except as so admitted, Fullerton

- 10. Answering paragraph 10 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants admit that Officer Bybee was employed by the Fullerton Police Department at all times relevant to the Second Amended Complaint. Except as so admitted, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 10.
- 11. Answering paragraph 11 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 12. Answering paragraph 12 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 12 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 13. Answering paragraph 13 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 14. Answering paragraph 14 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 15. Answering paragraph 15 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is

required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained therein.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 16. Answering paragraph 16 of the Second Amended Complaint, Fullerton Defendants admit that Officer Bybee encountered Plaintiff on his bicycle on August 11, 2012 at approximately 11:45 p.m. on Valencia Ave. near Harbor Blvd. in Fullerton, CA. Officer Bybee was driving an unmarked police vehicle equipped with emergency lights and siren and wearing a full Fullerton Police uniforms, including a badge and patches on each shoulder at the time of the encounter with Plaintiff. Except as so admitted, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 16.
- 17. Answering paragraph 17 of the Second Amended Complaint, Fullerton Defendants admit that Plaintiff did not stop and rode his bicycle down an alleyway off of Harbor Blvd. near Ash. Fullerton Defendants admit that Officer Bybee followed the Plaintiff. Except as so admitted, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 17.
- 18. Answering paragraph 18 of the Second Amended Complaint, Fullerton Defendants admit that the vehicle driven by Officer Bybee impacted Plaintiff's bicycle. Except as so admitted, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 18.
- 19. Answering paragraph 19 of the Second Amended Complaint, Fullerton Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 19 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 20. Answering paragraph 20 of the Second Amended Complaint, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 20 of

- 21. Answering paragraph 21 of the Second Amended Complaint,
  Fullerton Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a
  belief as to the truth of the allegations, and on that basis deny each and every
  allegation contained in paragraph 21 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 22. Answering paragraph 22 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants admit that Officer Bybee was employed by the Fullerton Police Department at the time the vehicle he was driving impacted Plaintiff's bicycle. Except as so admitted, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 22.
- 23. Answering paragraph 23 of the Second Amended Complaint, Fullerton Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 24. Answering paragraph 24 of the Second Amended Complaint, Fullerton Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 25. Answering paragraph 25 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 26. Answering paragraph 26 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants admit that the vehicle driven by Officer Bybee impacted Plaintiff's bicycle. Except as so admitted, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation in paragraph 26 of

the Second Amended Complaint.

- 27. Answering paragraph 27 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 27 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 28. Answering paragraph 28 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants admit that the vehicle driven by Officer Bybee impacted Plaintiff's bicycle. Except as so admitted, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation in paragraph 28 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 29. Answering paragraph 29 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation in paragraph 29 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 30. Answering paragraph 30 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation in paragraph 30 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 31. Answering paragraph 31 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation in paragraph 31 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 32. Answering paragraph 32 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation in paragraph 32 of the Second Amended Complaint.
  - 33. Answering paragraph 33 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar

as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 33 of the Second Amended Complaint.

- 34. Answering paragraph 34 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 34 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 35. Answering paragraph 35 of the Second Amended Complaint, Fullerton Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 35 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 36. Answering paragraph 36 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 36 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 37. Answering paragraph 36 of the Second Amended Complaint, Fullerton Defendants admit that Plaintiff filed a government claim with the City of Fullerton, dated August 29, 2012, which was received by the City on or about September 4, 2012, and that Exhibit "A" appears to be a copy of said claim. Fullerton Defendants admit that Plaintiff filed his Second Amended Complaint on or about March 19, 2013. Insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, except as so admitted, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 37 of the Second Amended Complaint.

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

38. Paragraph 38 of the Second Amended Complaint merely incorporates by reference the allegations of previous paragraphs. In answering paragraph 38,

Fullerton Defendants incorporate their respective admissions and denials to each such paragraph enumerated above.

- 39. Answering paragraph 39 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 40. Answering paragraph 40 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 40 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 41. Answering paragraph 41 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants admit that Officer Bybee was employed by the Fullerton Police Department on August 11, 2012. Except as so admitted, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 41 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 42. Answering paragraph 42 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 42 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 43. Answering paragraph 43 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 43 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 44. Answering paragraph 44 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and

every allegation contained in paragraph 44 of the Second Amended Complaint.

- 45. Answering paragraph 45 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 45 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 46. Answering paragraph 46 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 46 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 47. Answering paragraph 47 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 47 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 48. Answering paragraph 48 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 42 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 49. Answering paragraph 49 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 49 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 50. Answering paragraph 50 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 50 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 51. Answering paragraph 51 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and

every allegation contained in paragraph 51 of the Second Amended Complaint.

52. Answering paragraph 52 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 52 of the Second Amended Complaint.

### **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

- 53. Paragraph 53 of the Second Amended Complaint merely incorporates by reference the allegations of previous paragraphs. In answering paragraph 53, Fullerton Defendants incorporate their respective admissions and denials to each such paragraph enumerated above.
- 54. Answering paragraph 54 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 54 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 55. Answering paragraph 55 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 55 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 56. Answering paragraph 56 of the Second Amended Complaint, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 56 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 57. Answering paragraph 57 of the Second Amended Complaint, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 57 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 58. Answering paragraph 58 of the Second Amended Complaint, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 58 of

as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 65 of the Second Amended Complaint.

- 66. Answering paragraph 66 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 66 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 67. Answering paragraph 67 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 67 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 68. Answering paragraph 68 of the Second Amended Complaint, Fullerton Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 69. Answering paragraph 69 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 69 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 70. Answering paragraph 70 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, the City is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 71. Answering paragraph 71 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 71 of the Second Amended Complaint.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Answering paragraph 59 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar 59. as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 59 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 60. Answering paragraph 60 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 60 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- Answering paragraph 61 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar 61. as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 61 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 62. Answering paragraph 62 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 62 of the Second Amended Complaint.

# THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

- 63. Paragraph 63 of the Second Amended Complaint merely incorporates by reference the allegations of previous paragraphs. In answering paragraph 63, Fullerton Defendants incorporate their respective admissions and denials to each such paragraph enumerated above.
- 64. Answering paragraph 64 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 64 of the Second Amended Complaint.
  - Answering paragraph 65 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar 65.

- 72. Answering paragraph 72 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, the City is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 73. Answering paragraph 73 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 73 of the Second Amended Complaint.

## **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

- 74. Paragraph 74 of the Second Amended Complaint merely incorporates by reference the allegations of previous paragraphs. In answering paragraph 74, Fullerton Defendants incorporate their respective admissions and denials to each such paragraph enumerated above.
- 75. Answering paragraph 75 of the Second Amended Complaint,
  Fullerton Defendants admit that Government Code Section 820 speaks for itself.
  Except as so expressly admitted, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation therein.
- 76. Answering paragraph 76 of the Second Amended Complaint,
  Fullerton Defendants admit that Government Code Section 815.2 speaks for itself.
  Except as so expressly admitted, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation therein.
- 77. Answering paragraph 77 of the Second Amended Complaint, Fullerton Defendants admit that Civil Code Section 1714(a) speaks for itself. Except as so expressly admitted, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation therein.
  - 78. Answering paragraph 78 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar

as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants admit that the referenced sections speak for themselves. Except as so expressly admitted, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation therein.

- 79. Answering paragraph 79 of the Second Amended Complaint, Fullerton Defendants admit that Officer Bybee encountered Plaintiff on his bicycle on August 11, 2012 at approximately 11:45 p.m. on Valencia Ave. near Harbor Blvd. in Fullerton, CA. Officer Bybee was driving an unmarked police vehicle equipped with emergency lights and siren and wearing a full Fullerton Police uniforms, including a badge and patches on each shoulder at the time of the encounter with Plaintiff. Except as so admitted, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 79.
- 80. Answering paragraph 80 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants admit that Officer Bybee was employed by Fullerton Police Department on August 11, 2012. Except as so admitted, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every claim in paragraph 80 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 81. Answering paragraph 81 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, the City is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 82. Answering paragraph 82 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 82 of the Second Amended Complaint.

### 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 83. Paragraph 83 of the Second Amended Complaint merely incorporates by reference the allegations of previous paragraphs. In answering paragraph 83, Fullerton Defendants incorporate their respective admissions and denials to each such paragraph enumerated above.
- 84. Answering paragraph 84 of the Second Amended Complaint,
  Fullerton Defendants admit that Government Code Section 820 speaks for itself.
  Except as so expressly admitted, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation therein.
- 85. Answering paragraph 85 of the Second Amended Complaint,
  Fullerton Defendants admit that Government Code Section 815.2 speaks for itself.
  Except as so expressly admitted, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation therein.
- 86. Answering paragraph 86 of the Second Amended Complaint, Fullerton Defendants admit that Civil Code Section 1714(a) speaks for itself. Except as so expressly admitted, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation therein.
- 87. Answering paragraph 87 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants admit that the referenced sections speak for themselves. Except as so expressly admitted, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation therein.
- 88. Answering paragraph 88 of the Second Amended Complaint, Fullerton Defendants admit that Officer Bybee encountered Plaintiff on his bicycle on August 11, 2012 at approximately 11:45 p.m. on Valencia Ave. near Harbor Blvd. in Fullerton, CA. Officer Bybee was driving an unmarked police vehicle equipped with emergency lights and siren and wearing a full Fullerton Police

- uniforms, including a badge and patches on each shoulder at the time of the encounter with Plaintiff. Except as so admitted, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 88.
- 89. Answering paragraph 89 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants admit that Officer Bybee was employed by Fullerton Police Department on August 11, 2012. Except as so admitted, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 89 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 90. Answering paragraph 90 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 90 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 91. Answering paragraph 91 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 91 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 92. Answering paragraph 92 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 93. Answering paragraph 93 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 93 of the Second Amended Complaint.

# 

# 

## SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 94. Paragraph 94 of the Second Amended Complaint merely incorporates by reference the allegations of previous paragraphs. In answering paragraph 94, Fullerton Defendants incorporate their respective admissions and denials to each such paragraph enumerated above.
- 95. Answering paragraph 95 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 96. Answering paragraph 96 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants admit that Officer Bybee was employed by the Fullerton Police Department on August 11, 2012. Except as so admitted, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 96 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 97. Answering paragraph 97 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants admit that Officer Bybee encountered Plaintiff on his bicycle on August 11, 2012 at approximately 11:45 p.m. on Valencia Ave. near Harbor Blvd. in Fullerton, CA. Officer Bybee was driving an unmarked police vehicle equipped with emergency lights and siren and wearing a full Fullerton Police uniforms, including a badge and patches on each shoulder at the time of the encounter with Plaintiff. Except as so admitted, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 97.

- 98. Answering paragraph 98 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 98 of the Second Amended Complaint.
- 99. Answering paragraph 99 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, and on that basis deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 100. Answering paragraph 100 of the Second Amended Complaint, insofar as the allegations of this paragraph contain legal conclusions, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, Fullerton Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 100 of the Second Amended Complaint.

### AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

In addition to each of the admissions and denials set forth above, Fullerton Defendants assert the following affirmative defenses. The assertion of an affirmative defense shall not negate, by any means, Plaintiff's burden of proof on any element of his claims.

### FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to State a Claim for Relief)

101. Fullerton Defendants allege that neither the Second Amended Complaint, nor any claim for relief asserted therein, asserts facts sufficient to constitute a claim against Fullerton Defendants.

1 SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 2 3 (Assumption of Risk) 102. Fullerton Defendants allege that Plaintiff voluntarily assumed all 4 risks, responsibility and liability for the alleged injuries or damages, if any, 5 sustained by Plaintiff. 6 7 THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 8 9 (Claims Barred by Govt. Code § 815) 103. Fullerton Defendants allege that each and every state-law claim for 10 relief contained in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is barred by the 11 provisions of Government Code section 815. Specifically, except as otherwise 12 provided by statute, a public entity is not liable for an injury, whether such injury 13 arises out of an act or omission of the public entity or a public employee or any 14 other person. 15 16 FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 17 (Claims Barred by Govt. Code § 815.2) 18 104. Fullerton Defendants allege that each and every state-law claim for 19 relief contained in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is barred by the 20 provisions of Government Code section 815.2. Specifically, except as otherwise 21 provided by statute, a public entity is not liable for an injury resulting from an act 22 or omission of an employee of the public entity where the employee is immune 23 from liability. 24 25 26 FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Claims Barred by Govt. Code § 818.2) 27 105. Fullerton Defendants allege that each and every state-law claim for 28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

relief contained in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is barred by the provisions of Government Code section 818.2. Specifically, a public entity is not liable for an injury caused by adopting or failing to adopt an enactment or by failing to enforce any law. SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Claims Barred by Govt. Code § 820.2) 106. Fullerton Defendants allege that each and every state-law claim for relief contained in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is barred by the provisions of Government Code section 820.2. Specifically, except as otherwise provided by statute, a public employee is not liable for an injury resulting from his act or omission where the act or omission was the result of the exercise of the discretion vested in him/her, whether or not such discretion be abused. SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Claims Barred by Govt. Code § 820.4) 107. Fullerton Defendants allege that each and every state-law claim for

107. Fullerton Defendants allege that each and every state-law claim for relief contained in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is barred by the provisions of Government Code section 820.4. Specifically, a public employee is not liable for his/her act or omission, exercising due care, in the execution or enforcement of any law.

### EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Claims Barred by Govt. Code § 820.6)

108. Fullerton Defendants allege that each and every state-law claim for relief contained in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is barred by the provisions of Government Code section 820.6. Specifically, if a public employee acts in good faith, without malice, and under the apparent authority of an

enactment that is unconstitutional, invalid or inapplicable, he/she is not liable for an injury caused thereby except to the extent that he/she would have been liable had the enactment been constitutional, valid and applicable.

4

1

2

3

### NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

6

5

(Claims Barred by Govt. Code § 820.8)

109. Fullerton Defendants allege that each and every state-law claim for

7

relief contained in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is barred by the provisions of Government Code section 820.8. Specifically, except as otherwise

10

9

provided by statute, a public employee is not liable for an injury caused by the act

11

or omission of another person.

12

### TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

14

13

(Claims Barred by Govt. Code § 821)

110. Fullerton Defendants allege that each and every state-law claim for

1516

relief contained in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is barred by the

17

provisions of Government Code section 821. Specifically, a public employee is

18

not liable for an injury caused by his/her adoption of or failure to adopt an

19

enactment or by his/her failure to enforce an enactment.

20

### ELEVETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

2122

(Claims Barred by Govt. Code § 845)

23

111. Fullerton Defendants allege that each and every state-law claim for

24

relief contained in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is barred by the

25

provisions of Government Code section 845. Specifically, neither a public entity

2627

otherwise to provide police protection service or, if police protection service is

nor a public employee is liable for failure to establish a police department or

28

provided, for failure to provide sufficient police protection service.

1 TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 2 (Claims Barred by Govt. Code § 845.8) 3 112. Fullerton Defendants allege that each and every state-law claim for 4 relief contained in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is barred by the 5 provision of Government Code section 845.8. Specifically, neither a public entity, 6 nor a public employee is liable for any injury caused by (1) an escaping or escaped 7 prisoner; (2) an escaping or escaped arrested person; or (3) a person resisting 8 9 arrest. 10 THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 11 (Avoidable Consequences) 12 113. The alleged damages asserted by Plaintiff in the Second Amended 13 Complaint are barred, either in whole or in part, by the doctrine of avoidable 14 consequences. State Department of Health Services v. Superior Court, 31 Cal.4th 15 1026, 6 Cal. Rptr. 3d 441 (2003). 16 17 FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 18 (Speculative Damages) 19 114. Plaintiff's claims for damages are barred, either in whole or in part, 20 because Plaintiff's purported damages are remote, speculative and/or unavailable 21 as a matter of law. 22 23 FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 24 (Lawful Conduct) 25 115. Fullerton Defendants allege that their conduct was at all times 26 reasonable and lawful under the circumstances. 27 28

1 SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 2 (No Policy, Practice or Custom) 3 4 116. Fullerton Defendants allege that no policy, practice or custom of, or carried out by, the City, or promulgated by any policy maker of the City existed 5 and/or served to deprive Plaintiff of his constitutional rights. 6 7 SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 8 (Failure of Complaint to Match Claim) 9 117. Fullerton Defendants allege that Plaintiff's state law claims are barred 10 by his failure to comply with the claim provisions of Government Code section 11 900, et seq., for the failure of the claim to match the Complaint. 12 13 14 EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 15 (Waiver) 118. Fullerton Defendants allege that Plaintiff has engaged in conduct and 16 activities sufficient to constitute a waiver of any alleged duty, act or omission of 17 any nature by Fullerton Defendants, which waiver serves to preclude any recovery 18 19 here sought by Plaintiff. 20 NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 21 (Laches) 22 119. Fullerton Defendants allege that each and every claim for relief 23 24 contained in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is barred by the equitable doctrine of laches. 25 26 27 28

TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 1 (Failure to Mitigate Damages) 2 120. Fullerton Defendants allege that that though under a duty to do so, 3 Plaintiff have failed and neglected to mitigate the alleged damages, and therefore 4 cannot recover against Fullerton Defendants whether as alleged, or otherwise. 5 Fullerton Defendants are informed and believe and thereon allege that Plaintiff 6 7 failed to exercise his duty to mitigate and limit his damage claim as to Fullerton Defendants, which acts and omissions by Plaintiff have estopped Plaintiff from 8 asserting any claim for damages or seeking the relief requested against Fullerton 9 Defendants. 10 11 TWENTY SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 12 (Estoppel) 13 121. Fullerton Defendants allege that each and every claim for relief 14 contained in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is barred by the equitable 15 doctrine of estoppel. 16 17 TWENTY SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 18 (Unclean Hands) 19 122. Fullerton Defendants allege that each and every claim for relief 20 contained in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is barred by the equitable 21 doctrine of unclean hands. 22 23 TWENTY THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 24 (Legal and/or Proximate Cause) 25 123. Fullerton Defendants allege that their acts were not the legal and/or 26 proximate cause of any of the damages alleged by Plaintiff. 27 28

TWENTY FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 1 (Acts or Omissions of Plaintiff) 2 124. Fullerton Defendants allege that, to the extent Plaintiff suffered any 3 damages, they were caused solely by the actions or omissions f Plaintiff. 4 5 TWENTY FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 6 7 (Comparative Negligence) 125. Fullerton Defendants allege that each and every claim for relief stated 8 in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is barred and/or subject to offset and 9 reduction by virtue of the comparative negligence of Plaintiff. 10 11 TWENTY SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 12 (Apportionment) 13 126. Fullerton Defendants allege that, if Plaintiff suffered or sustained any 14 loss of damages as alleged in the Second Amended Complaint, such loss or 15 damage was proximately caused and contributed to by persons or entities other 16 than Fullerton Defendants. The liability of all defendants, named or unnamed, 17 should be apportioned according to the relative degrees of fault, and the liability of 18 Fullerton Defendants should be reduced accordingly. 19 20 TWENTY SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 21 (Offset) 22 127. Fullerton Defendants allege that any amount for which it is held liable 23 and owing to Plaintiff is offset by any and all amounts recovered by Plaintiff from 24 any other responsible parties, such that Fullerton Defendants' liability will be 25 reduced in an amount corresponding to those recovered by Plaintiff from such 26 other responsible parties. 27 28

TWENTY EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Vehicle Code section 17004.1)

128. Fullerton Defendants allege that each and every state-law claim for relief contained in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is barred by the provision of Vehicle Code section 17004.1. Specifically, a public employee is not liable for civil damages on account of personal injury to or death of any person or damage to property resulting from the operation, in the line of duty, of an authorized emergency vehicle while responding to an emergency call or when in the immediate pursuit of an actual or suspected violator of the law, or when responding to but not upon returning from a fire alarm or other emergency call.

### TWENTY NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Claim for relief Barred by Govt. Code § 844.6)

129. Fullerton Defendants allege that each and every state-law claim for relief contained in Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint is barred by the provisions of Government Code section 844.6. Specifically, a public entity is not liable for an injury to any prisoner.

# THIRTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Claims Barred Due to Exercise of Reasonable Force)

130. Fullerton Defendants allege that each and every claim for relief contained in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is barred because, at all times mentioned therein, Fullerton Defendants allege that no more force, if any, was used on Plaintiff's person than was necessary to effect detention, overcome any resistance thereto, prevent escape thereon, and prevent injury to Fullerton Defendants and others, and that such force, if any, was reasonable under the circumstances.

1 2 3 THIRTY FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 4 (Claims Barred Due to Reasonable and Probable Cause to Detain Plaintiff) 5 131. Fullerton Defendants allege that each and every claim for relief 6 contained in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is barred because, at all times 7 mentioned therein, Fullerton Defendants had reasonable and probable cause to 8 detain and restrain Plaintiff. 9 10 THIRTY SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 11 (Qualified Immunity) 12 132. Fullerton Defendants allege that each and every claim for relief 13 contained in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is barred because the 14 individual Fullerton Defendants are entitled to qualified immunity. 15 16 THIRTY THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 17 (Claims Barred by the Doctrines of Collateral Estoppel and Res Judicata) 18 133. Fullerton Defendants reserve as an affirmative defense that Plaintiff's 19 claims are barred by the doctrines of collateral estoppel and res judicata as 20 announced in Heck v. Humphrey, 512 U.S. 477 (1994). 21 22 THIRTY FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 23 (Reservation of Additional Affirmative Defenses) 24 134. Fullerton Defendants allege that they are without sufficient 25 information as to the nature and scope of Plaintiff's causes of action as to be able 26 to be fully assess and set forth all potentially-applicable affirmative defenses in this 27 matter. Accordingly, the City hereby reserve the right to allege additional 28

affirmative defenses as further information becomes known. 1 2 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF** 3 4 WHEREFORE, Fullerton Defendants pray as follows: 5 That Plaintiff take nothing by reason of his Second Amended 1. 6 7 Complaint, and that judgment be entered in favor of Fullerton Defendants. That Fullerton Defendants be awarded costs of suit and attorney's fees 8 incurred in defense of this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988 and other legal 9 grounds; and 10 3. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper. 11 12 13 Dated: March 26, 2014 Respectfully submitted, 14 JONES & MAYER 15 16 By:/s/ Denise L. Rocawich JAMES R. TOUCHSTONE 17 DENISE L. ROCAWICH Attorneys for City of Fullerton and 18 Officer Bryan Bybee 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28