•	Case 8:13-cv-00453-DO2-AN Document 8 Filed 04/22/13 Page 1 of 32 Page ID #:47
1 2 3 4 5	Federico Castelan Sayre, Esq. (SBN 067420) sayreesq@sayreleviti.com Adam L. Salamoff, Esq. (SBN 193686) asalamoff@sayrelevitt.com 333 Civic Center Drive West
7 8 9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11 12	DAVID TOVAR, an individual, Plaintiff, CASE NO. SACV 13-00453 DOC (ANX)
13 14	vs. FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CITY OF FULLERTON, FULLERTON POLICE DEPARTMENT, DOE OFFICERS OF THE FULLERTON POLICE DEPARTMENT and DOES 1 through) 10, inclusive, Defendants. 1. VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF LAW (42 USC § 1983, 1985, 1986 and 1988) 2. VIOLATION OF CIVIL CODE§51.7, (Ralph Civil Rights Act) Act) 4. ASSAULT 5. BATTERY 6. NEGLIGENCE
23 24 25 26	Complaint Filed: March 19, 2013 COMES NOW PLAINTIFF DAVID TOVAR, who alleges and complains as follows:
27 28	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
	1

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is an action for money damages brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985, 1986, 1988, and the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution against Defendants, CITY OF FULLERTON, FULLERTON POLICE DEPARTMENT ("FULLERTON P.D."), DOE OFFICERS OF THE FULLERTON POLICE DEPARTMENT, and DOES 1-10.
- 2. It is herein alleged that Defendants DOE OFFICERS OF THE FULLERTON POLICE DEPARTMENT and/or other individuals employed by Defendants CITY OF FULLERTON and FULLERTON POLICE DEPARTMENT, used their police vehicle to impact Plaintiff DAVID TOVAR, who was riding a bicycle, without legal cause or excuse, made an unreasonable seizure of plaintiff's person, violating his rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

JURISDICTION

- 3. Plaintiff DAVID TOVAR, an individual, claims for relief arising under, and for violations of, the following laws:
 - a. Federal Civil Rights Act under 42 USC §§ 1983, 1985, 1986, and 1988;
 - b. Monell v. Department of Social Services;
 - c. The Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution; and
 - d. Equal Protection Clause and Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.
 - 4. The jurisdiction of this court, is therefore, founded on Federal Question Jurisdiction pursuant to 28 USC § 1331.
 - 5. This court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims under 28 USC § 1367(a).

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

VENUE

6. Venus is proper in the Central District of Court of California in that one or more defendants reside in the County of Orange, State of California, as more fully set forth herein. Defendants are properly before this Court because "a substantial part of the events upon which this action is based occurred in this district." 28 USC § 1891(a)(2) and § 1343. The motor vehicle v. bicycle incident took place near Harbor Blvd. and Ash Ave., City of Fullerton, County of Orange, California.

PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff, DAVID TOVAR (hereinafter "TOVAR"), was a resident of the City of Fullerton, Orange County, California during all times relevant hereto.
- 8. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant CITY OF FULLERTON (hereinafter "CITY") is and was a public entity, duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California.
- 9. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant FULLERTON POLICE DEPARTMENT (hereinafter "FPD") is and was a public entity, duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California.
- 10. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FULLERTON POLICE DEPARTMENT, individuals, (hereinafter "DOE OFFICERS"), were acting within the course and scope of their employment as officers, deputies, sergeants, captains, commanders, and/or civil employees of the FPD, and were authorized by the CITY and/or FPD to perform the duties and responsibilities of sworn police officers of and for the CITY, and all acts hereinafter complained of were performed by him within the course and scope of their duties as police officers and officials.
- 11. At all times relevant hereto, all DOE Defendants, and each of them, were acting within the course and scope of their employment as officers, deputies,

sergeants, captains, commanders, and/or civilian employees of CITY or FPD, a department and/or a subdivision of defendant CITY and at all times were acting with permission and consent fo their co-defendants. Said defendants, and each of them, were specifically authorized by defendant CITY and/or FPD to perform the duties and responsibilities of sworn police office of and for the CITY, and all acts hereinafter complained of were performed by them within the course and scope of their duties as police officer and officials for said defendant, and its police department, and are herein sued in their individual capacities and in their official capacities as police officers, sergeants, captains, commanders, supervisors, policy makers and/or as other employees of the FPD. Said defendants, and each of them, at all times relevant hereto, were acting under color of law, to wit, under the color of the statutes, ordinances, regulations, policies, customs, practices and usages of defendant CITY, and/or its police department.

- 12. Defendant CITY and/or FPD encouraged, assisted, ratified and/or with deliberate indifference failed to prevent all of the herein acts and omissions of defendants, and each of them.
- 13. TOVAR is ignorant of the true names and capacities of defendants sued herein as DOE OFFICERS and/or DOES 1-10, inclusive, and therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious names. TOVAR will amend this complaint to allege said defendants' true names and capacities when such are ascertained. RUIZ is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the fictitiously name defendants are responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that TOVAR's damages as herein alleged were proximately caused by the acts and/or omissions of said fictitiously name defendants.
- 14. At all times relevant herein, Defendant DOES 1 through 10 were supervisors, employees and/or policy makers for defendant CITY and/or FPD, which employed unlawful, organized and illegal customs and practices of

excessive force, false arrest which lacked probable cause. Said misconduct was encouraged, tolerated and condoned by defendants, and each of them.

15. At all times relevant to the present complaint, Defendant DOES 1-10, inclusive, were acting within their capacity as employees, agents, representatives and servants of defendants CITY and/or FPD which are liable under the doctrine of *respondeat superior*, pursuant to § 815.2 of the California Government code, *et al.*

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 16. On or about August 11, 2012, at approximately 11:45 p.m., Plaintiff TOVAR was riding his bicycle on Valencia Ave., near Harbor Blvd., in Fullerton, CA, when an unidentified truck drove up behind him.
- 17. TOVAR, fearing for his safety, rode his bicycle off the main road, in an attempt to get away from the unidentified truck. The unidentified truck continued to follow TOVAR down an alleyway off of Harbor Blvd., near Ash.
- 18. As TOVAR sped down the alleyway, the unidentified truck sped up\ behind TOVAR impacting the rear of TOVAR's bicycle, sending him flying off the bicycle, resulting in TOVAR's head, face and body impacting with the curb and pavement and TOVAR losing consciousness.
- 19. When TOVAR regained consciousness, he was able to confirm that the previously unidentified truck was an unmarked police vehicle owned by the CITY and operated by a DOE OFFICER OF THE FPD, at the time of the impact.
- 20. No-one inside the CITY vehicle, operated by a DOE OFFICER OF THE FPD identified his or herself to plaintiff TOVAR as an officer of the FPD, at any time during the pursuit, from the time TOVAR was being followed, while on Valencia Blvd., until the time of the impact from the unmarked CITY vehicle.
- 21. TOVAR was not acting in the commission of any crime when he was being pursued by the unmarked CITY vehicle.

12

13

9

14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

27

26

- The DOE OFFICER OF THE FPD was in the course and scope of his 22. employment with the CITY and FPD, when he/she drove the unmarked vehicle in pursuit of TOVAR and impacted TOVAR's bicycle.
- As a result of being thrown from his bicycle by the impact from 23. the FPD vehicle, TOVAR sustained a deep laceration above his right eye, necessitating sutures, a swollen shut right eye, loss of consciousness, numerous lacerations to his face, head, arms, hands and legs, vision damage and emotional distress.
- At no point in time on August 11, 2012, was TOVAR carrying a 24. weapon of any kind. TOVAR was completely unarmed and was not posing a threat of bodily harm to either himself, or anyone else.
- Based upon information and belief, TOVAR alleges that on August 25. 11, 2012, DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and DOES 1-10, while acting within the course and scope of their employment and under color of State law approached TOVAR, and without reasonable suspicion of wrongdoing, physically assaulted him with their vehicle. Additionally, a DOE OFFICER OF THE FPD ultimately used his CITY and/or FPD unmarked vehicle as a weapon, running down and impacting TOVAR, without reasonable suspicion of wrongdoing and without any further probable cause. DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and DOES 1-10, while acting within the course and scope of their employment with defendants CITY and FPD, detained, and arrested TOVAR, which was a violation of his Fourth Amendment rights to be free from unreasonable search and seizure as he had committed no crimes and there was no reason for him to not be able to be free from being detained. In addition, TOVAR was subjected to an excessive amount of force, where he was not resisting arrest or carrying a weapon.
- In the evening of August 11, 2012, DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD 26. and DOES 1-10, inclusive, while acting within the course and scope of their

employment with the CITY and FPD, and under color of law proceeded to chase down and impact TOVAR with their vehicle and seriously injure TOVAR without probable cause, justification, or excuse. At the time that the aforementioned Defendants chased TOVAR in their unmarked police vehicle and knocked him off of his bicycle, with their vehicle, to an objective, reasonable police officer, TOVAR did not represent a threat to their lives or to the lives of others.

- 27. Defendants, and each of them, had no probable cause for his detention or arrest and not legal cause or excuse to seize the person of TOVAR. TOVAR constituted no threat to the offices or to others, and although there was no legal cause or excuse to seize the person of TOVAR, he was pursued and battered by a motor vehicle owned by the CITY and/or FPD, while operated by defendant DOE OFFICER OF THE FPD, resulting in serious injuries to TOVAR.
- 28. TOVAR was engaged in lawful activity. TOVAR was approached by DOE OFFICER OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10 without reason, cause or justification. Defendants thereafter proceeded to chase down TOVAR with their police vehicle and ultimately knocked him off of his bicycle by impacting him with their vehicle.
- 29. Defendant DOE OFFICER OF THE FPD chased down TOVAR negligently, recklessly, intentionally, tortiously and/or with the intent to kill and/or commit serious bodily injury upon TOVAR and to violate Plaintiff's civil rights.
- 30. The use of a motor vehicle to perpetrate a battery upon TOVAR, who was riding a bicycle and not acting in the commission of any crime, constituted further unconstitutional violations of TOVAR's civil rights, in that they were excessive force in violation of the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution and TOVAR's state civil rights afforded pursuant to California Civil Code §§51, 51.7, 52 and 52.1.

31. The actions of Defendants, and each of them, were in violation of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution and the actions undertaken by Defendants constituted cruel and unusual punishment of TOVAR, an unjustified seizure of his person, deprivation of his liberty interest, excessive force and were in violation of his civil rights under color of law under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and other sections of the United States Code as more fully set forth herein, as well as his

- 32. TOVAR is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants CITY, FPD and DOES 1-10 and their decision makers, with deliberate indifference, gross negligence, and reckless disregard to the safety, security, and constitutional and statutory rights of TOVAR and all persons similarly situated, maintained, enforced, tolerated, permitted, acquiesced in, and applied policies, practices, or customs and usages of, among other things: subjecting people to unreasonable uses of force against their persons;
 - a. selecting, retaining and assigning employees with demonstrable propensities for excessive force, violence, and other misconduct;
 - b. failing to adequately train, supervise, and control employees in the dangers of motor vehicle pursuit upon a person riding a bicycle, including, without limitation, the use of potentially lethal tactics, on individuals who may have pre-existing medical conditions which make such tactics unreasonably dangerous;
 - c. failing to adequately discipline officers engaged in misconduct;
 - d. Condoning and encouraging officers in the belief that they can violate the rights of persons such as the decedent in this action with impunity, and that such conduct will not adversely affect

their opportunities for promotion and other employment benefits.

- 33. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants CITY, FPD and DOES 1-10 have a longstanding custom, policy and practice of violating civil rights, including excessive use of force and other similar actions, and ordered, authorized, acquiesced in, tolerated, permitted or maintained custom and usages permitting the other defendants herein to engage in the unlawful and unconstitutional actions, policies, practices, and customs or usages set forth in the foregoing paragraph. Defendants' conduct as alleged herein constitutes a pattern of constitutional violations based either on a deliberate plan by defendants or on defendants' deliberate indifference, gross negligence, or reckless disregard to the safety, security, and rights of plaintiff and their decedent.
- 34. TOVAR alleges that Defendants acted in violation of the United States Constitution and that his constitutional rights were violated. Defendants, and each of them, acted in violation of TOVAR's constitutional rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States constitution, as well as his civil rights afforded pursuant to California Civil Code §§51, 51.7, 52 and 52.1. TOVAR was subjected to an excessive amount of force where he had committed no criminal act, engaged in no suspicious criminal activity, and was seized without probable cause by Defendants while acting under color of law, pursuant to their actual and apparent authority.
- 35. TOVAR is informed, believes and thereupon alleges that he suffered great pain and anguish after he was knocked off his bicycle by an unmarked vehicle causing his head and body to impact with the curb and the road, and TOVAR will continue to suffer pain, anguish, and other debilitating and permanent effects from his wounds.

.17

- 36. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned acts of defendants, and each of them, TOVAR suffered the following injuries, including, but not limited to:
 - a. Violation of his constitutional rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution to be free from unreasonable search and seizure of his person and summary, cruel and unusual punishment;
 - b. Conscious physical pain, suffering and emotional trauma;
 - c. medical expenses;
 - d. damages to clothing and other personal effects;
 - e. Loss of income and lost earning capacity; and
 - f. punitive damages.
- 37. On or about August 29, 2012, plaintiff filed a governmental claim with the CITY OF FULLERTON, pursuant to the requirements of California Government Code Section 800 et seq. It was received by the City on or about September 4, 2012. A true and correct copy of this claim is attached hereto, and incorporated by this reference, as **EXHIBIT "A."** This claim names unknown officers of the FULLERTON POLICE DEPARTMENT, the FULLERTON POLICE DEPARTMENT and the CITY OF FULLERTON, as responsible parties. No written rejection of the claim having been received, the claim was deemed rejected by operation of law on, or about October 14, 2012. Plaintiff then filed his timely Complaint on March 19, 2013.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF FEDERAL CIVIL RIGHTS UNDER 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (By Plaintiff Against Doe Officers of the FPD and Does 1-10, inclusive)

38. TOVAR incorporates each and every preceding paragraph as though fully set forth herein.

- 40. A public entity is liable for injury proximately caused by an act or mission of an employee of the public entity within the scope of his employment if the act or omission would, apart from this section, have given rise to a cause of action against that employee or his personal representative under Government Code § 815.2.
- 41. At the time of the incident, Defendants DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD were acting within the course and scope of their employment with their employers CITY and FPD. Defendants owed a duty of ordinary care to avoid harm to TOVAR.
- 42. TOVAR contends and herein alleges that defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and DOES 1-10, and each of them breached these aforementioned duties, either negligently or intentionally in relation to all their interactions with TOVAR, on August 11, 2012, including, but not limited to, their use of excessive force, including use of their unmarked police vehicle as a deadly weapon upon TOVAR, while he was unarmed.
- 43. TOVAR contends and herein alleges that the aforementioned negligent/intentional breach of their duties by defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and DOES 1-10, constituted violations of the civil rights of TOVAR, in contravention of 42 U.S.C. §1983 of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the Constitution of the United States and the laws of the State of California. TOVAR further contends and alleges that defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and DOES 1-10's disregard of his aforementioned civil rights was done by

either actual malice or deliberate indifference to TOVAR's civil rights.

- 44. TOVAR contends and herein alleges that defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and DOES 1-10's negligent/intentional use of their unmarked police vehicle, as a deadly weapon, upon him was the legal cause of his injuries.
- 45. On or about August 11, 2012, defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and DOES 1-10, and each of them, violated TOVAR's civil rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution prohibiting unlawful search and seizure, cruel and unusual punishment and violation of due process of law. The violation was under color of state law. Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and DOES 1-10, and each of them, acted in violation of the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution when TOVAR was subjected to excessive force when TOVAR was pursued by defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and DOES 1-10, with their police vehicle and ultimately knocked off of his bicycle by being impacted by the unmarked police vehicle.
- 46. The actions of defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and DOES 1-10, as aforesaid, violated the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution and violated 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The violation of TOVAR's civil rights directly and proximately caused the injuries and damages to him.
- 47. The false and illegal seizure and use of excessive force of TOVAR was in violation of his civil rights to be free from the unreasonable search and seizure of his person, to be free from the loss of his physical liberty interest, and for him to be free from cruel and unusual punishment and denial of substantive due process under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution. In addition, in taking the aforesaid action, defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and DOES 1-10, and each of them, violated TOVAR's Civil Rights by being deliberately indifferent to his physical security as set forth in *Wood v. Ostrander*, 879 F.2d 583.

- 48. Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and DOES 1-10, and each of theirs, actions as aforesaid directly and proximately caused injuries and damages to Plaintiff TOVAR.
- 49. On or about August 11, 2012, defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and DOES 1-10 violated TOVAR's Civil Rights by using a degree of physical coercion which was not objectively reasonable under the circumstances. TOVAR posed no threat and had committed no crime. Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and DOES 1-10's use of excessive force was unreasonable and in violation of TOVAR'S Civil Rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution to be free from an unreasonable seizure of his person and to be free from a loss of physical liberty. Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and DOES 1-10's use of excessive force was in violation of TOVAR's Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment Rights.
- 50. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and DOES 1-10, and each of them, TOVAR suffered the following injuries and damages for which he may recover:
 - a. Violation of his constitutional rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution to be free from unreasonable search and seizure of his person and summary, cruel and unusual punishment;
 - b. Conscious physical pain, suffering and emotional trauma;
 - c. medical expenses;
 - d. damages to clothing and other personal effects;
 - e. Loss of income and lost earning capacity; and
 - f. punitive damages.
- 51. The conduct of defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and DOES1-10, was reckless and acted with callous indifference to the federally protected

rights of TOVAR. Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and DOES 1-10, and each of them, engaged in despicable conduct by using excessive force and was malicious and in reckless and conscious disregard for the rights and individual safety of TOVAR and TOVAR is entitled to punitive damages in accord with constitutionally permitted limits to punish and make an example of the individual defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and DOES 1-10.

52. TOVAR is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees, costs and expenses under 42 U.S.C. Section 1988 due to defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and DOES 1-10's violations of TOVAR's Civil Rights.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

HARASSMENT AND DISCRIMINATION BY INTIMIDATION OR THREAT OF FORCE OR VIOLENCE BASED ON RACE/ANCESTRY, Civil Code §§ 51.7, 52 (RALPH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT) (By Plaintiff Against Defendant Doe Officers of the FPD and Does 1-10, inclusive)

- 53. Plaintiff TOVAR hereby incorporates each and every of the preceding paragraphs, as though set forth fully herein.
- 54. Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10, committed violence, or intimidation by threat of violence, against Plaintiff TOVAR because of TOVAR's race, in violation of Civil Code § 51.7.
- 55. Plaintiff TOVAR claims that Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10, subjected him to violence or threat of violence based on his race/color. Plaintiff is of Latin American descent.
- 56. Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10 threatened and/or committed violent acts against plaintiff TOVAR, when they pursued him in their unmarked police vehicle and then physically injured him when Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10 impacted plaintiff

- 57. A motivating reason for Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10's conduct was their perception of plaintiff's race/color (Latin American).
- 58. Plaintiff TOVAR was harmed by the conduct of Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10; sustaining a deep laceration above his right eye, necessitating sutures, a swollen shut right eye, loss of consciousness, numerous lacerations to his face, head, arms, hands and legs, vision damage and emotional distress.
- 59. The conduct of Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES1-10 was a substantial factor in causing plaintiff's harm.
- 60. Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10, aided, incited and conspired in the denial of Plaintiff's rights under Civil Code § 51.7, while acting as employees of CITY and FPD.
- 61. Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10, were acting in part within the course and scope of their employment by CITY and/or FPD and were, at least in part, serving a purpose of their own in carrying out the above misconduct against Plaintiff TOVAR. Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10, were motivated, at least in part, by malice and ill will toward

Plaintiff TOVAR.

62. As the proximate result of Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10's misconduct, Plaintiff TOVAR is entitled, pursuant to Civil Code §52, to an award of actual general and special damages (including but not limited to physical and mental injuries and disabilities; pain and suffering, emotional distress), exemplary and punitive damages, a civil penalty of twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000) for each violation of §51.7 by each Defendant, and reasonable attorney's fees as may be determined by the Court. Plaintiff TOVAR has retained the legal services of attorney Federico C. Sayre, of Sayre & Levitt, LLP and incurred an obligation for fees.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

ATTEMPTED INTERFERENCE WITH LEGAL RIGHTS BY INTIMIDATION OR THREAT OF VIOLENCE, CIVIL CODE§ 52.1 (BANE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT)

(By Plaintiff Against Defendant Doe Officers of the FPD and Does 1-10, inclusive)

- 63. Plaintiff TOVAR hereby incorporates each and every of the preceding paragraphs, as though set forth fully herein.
- 64. Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10 intentionally interfered with plaintiff TOVAR's civil rights by threatening or committing violent acts against him, while he was lawfully riding his bicycle, on August 11, 2012.
- 65. Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10 interfered with plaintiff TOVAR's right to be free from deprivation of his personal liberty, by threatening and/or committing violent acts against him.
- 66. Plaintiff TOVAR reasonably believed that if he exercised his right to be free from deprivation of his personal liberty, Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE

FPD and/or DOES 1-10, would commit violence against him, which they ultimately did, on August 11, 2012. Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10, intimidated and/or threatened plaintiff, by slowly pursuing him and not identifying themselves as police officers, to plaintiff. Then, defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10 increased the intensity of their pursuit and ultimately committed a violent act upon plaintiff, by impacting plaintiff, on his bicycle, with the defendants' police vehicle, sending plaintiff flying off of his bicycle, causing plaintiff's head and body to impact with a concrete curb and pavement.

- 67. Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10, injured plaintiff TOVAR, preventing him from exercising his right to be free from deprivation of his personal liberty. Plaintiff was not acting in the commission of any criminal act, during the entire time he was being pursued by defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10.
- 68. Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10, caused plaintiff to sustain a deep laceration above his right eye, necessitating sutures, a swollen shut right eye, loss of consciousness, numerous lacerations to his face, head, arms, hands and legs, vision damage and emotional distress, among other injuries, when they impacted TOVAR with their unmarked police vehicle, knocking him to the ground, on August 11, 2012.
- 69. The conduct of Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10, was a substantial factor in causing plaintiff TOVAR's harm.
- 70. Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10 interfered and/or attempted to interfere, by intimidation, coercion, and/or credible threats of violence, with the exercise or enjoyment by Plaintiff TOVAR of rights secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or of the rights secured by the Constitution or laws of the State of California. Plaintiff's rights include but are not limited to; Civil Code §§ 51.7, 52, 52.1 (race discrimination).

- 72. As the proximate result of Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10's misconduct, Plaintiff TOVAR suffered damages. Plaintiff TOVAR is entitled pursuant to Civil Code sections 52.1 and 52, to an award of actual general and special damages, exemplary damages, and reasonable attorney's fees as may be determined by the Court. Plaintiff TOVAR has retained the legal services of attorney Federico C. Sayre, of Sayre & Levitt, LLP and incurred an obligation for fees. Plaintiff TOVAR is also entitled to an injunction against further interference with Plaintiff's legal rights.
- 73. Pursuant to Civil Code §3294, the wrongful conduct of Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10 was malicious, oppressive, fraudulent, despicable and not to be tolerated by civilized society and was known, authorized, ratified and/or perpetrated by the CITY and/or FPD's managing agents, entitling Plaintiff TOVAR to an award of punitive and exemplary damages in an amount to be proven.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

ASSAULT

(California Government Code Section 814, et. seq.)

[By plaintiff Against All Defendants]

- 74. Plaintiff TOVAR hereby incorporates each and every of the preceding paragraphs, as though set forth fully herein.
 - 75. At all times herein mentioned, Government Code Section 820 was in

- "(a) Except as otherwise provided by statute (including Section 820.02), a public employee is liable for injury caused by his act or omission to the same extent as a private person.
- (b) The liability of a public employee established by this part (commencing with Section 814) is subject to any defense that would be available to the public employee if he were a private person."
- 76. At all times herein mentioned, Government Code Section 815.2 was in existence, which states in pertinent part as follows:
- "(a) A public entity is liable for injury proximately caused by an act or omission of an employee of the public entity within the scope of his employment if the act or omission would, apart from this section, have given rise to a cause of action against that employee or his personal representative.
- (b) Except as otherwise provided by statute, a public entity is not liable for an injury resulting from an act or omission of an employee of the public entity where the employee is immune from liability.
- 77. At all times herein mentioned, Civil Code Section 1714 (a) was in existence which states in pertinent part as follows:

"Everyone is responsible, not only for the result of his or her willful acts, but also for an injury occasioned to another by his or her want of ordinary care or skill in the management of his or her property or person. "

78. At all times herein mentioned, TOVAR had a civil right, pursuant to the above-referenced Government codes and to California Civil Code §43, to be free from an unlawful and unprivileged apprehension of a harmful contact with his person by a public entity and/or public employee. California Civil Code §§ 52 and 52.1(a)(b), protects TOVAR from an interference and violation of state civil right to be free from an unlawful and unprivileged harmful contact with their person.

- 79. On or about August 11, 2012, TOVAR was riding his bicycle on Valencia Ave., near Harbor Blvd., in Fullerton, CA, when an unidentified truck (later determined to be an unmarked police vehicle of the CITY and FPD), drove up behind him. TOVAR, fearing for his safety, rode his bicycle off the main road, in an attempt to get away from the unidentified truck. The unmarked police vehicle, operated by defendant DOE OFFICER OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10 continued to follow TOVAR down an alleyway off of Harbor Blvd., near Ash. As TOVAR sped down the alleyway, the unmarked police vehicle sped up behind TOVAR impacting the rear of TOVAR's bicycle, sending TOVAR flying off the bicycle, resulting in TOVAR's head, face and body impacting with the curb and pavement and TOVAR losing consciousness.
- 80. Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10, while working within the course and scope of their employment with defendants CITY and FPD, intended to cause, and did, cause Plaintiff to suffer apprehension of an immediate harmful contact.
- 81. As a direct and proximate result of said tortious acts, and omissions or conduct of the Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10, and each of them, Plaintiff TOVAR has sustained and incurred, and is certain in the future to sustain and incur, losses, injuries and damages which are itemized as follows:
 - a. Serious and severe personal injuries;
 - b. Expenses for medical procedures, medical care and treatment;
 - c. Loss of present earnings, future earnings and earnings' potential;
- d. General damages for pain, suffering, anguish, discomfort, severe emotional distress, anxiety, worry and mental suffering, loss of enjoyment of life, and loss of ability to engage in normal and customary life activities;
 - e. Expenses for future medical care, treatment and healing; and
 - f. Other and further damages not specifically enumerated but for which

Plaintiffs will seek leave of court to amend according to proof at the time of trial.

82. Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10 engaged in malicious, willful, oppressive and despicable conduct as herein alleged and acted with a conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights and with an intent to vex, injure or annoy Plaintiff such as to constitute oppression, fraud or malice in violation of Civil Code Section 3294. Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10 engaged in a conscious disregard of Plaintiff's safety and thereby directly caused injury and damage to Plaintiff. Plaintiff TOVAR is entitled to punitive damages against Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10 to punish and make and example of them.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

BATTERY

(California Government Code Sections 814, et. seq.)

[By Plaintiff Against All Defendants]

- 83. Plaintiff TOVAR hereby incorporates each and every of the preceding paragraphs, as though set forth fully herein.
- 84. At all times mentioned in this complaint, Government Code Section 820 was in existence, which states in pertinent part as follows:
- "(a) Except as otherwise provided by statute (including Section 820.02), a public employee is liable for injury caused by his act or omission to the same extent as a private person.
- (b) The liability of a public employee established by this part (commencing with Section 814) is subject to any defense that would be available to the public employee if he were a private person."
- 85. At all times mentioned in this complaint, Government Code Section 815.2 was in existence, which states in pertinent part as follows:
 - "(a) A public entity is liable for injury proximately caused by an act or

- (b) Except as otherwise provided by statute, a public entity is not liable for an injury resulting from an act or omission of an employee of the public entity where the employee is immune from liability.
- 86. At all times herein mentioned, Civil Code Section 1714 (a) was in existence which states in pertinent part as follows:

"Everyone is responsible, not only for the result of his or her willful acts, but also for an injury occasioned to another by his or her want of ordinary care or skill in the management of his or her property or person. "

- 87. At all times herein mentioned, TOVAR had a civil right, pursuant to the California Government codes listed above and to California Civil Code §43, to be free from an unlawful and unprivileged harmful contact with his person by a government entity and/or public employee. California Civil Code §§ 52 and 52.1(a)(b), protects TOVAR from an interference and violation of state civil right to be free from an unlawful and unprivileged harmful contact with their person.
- 88. On or about August 11, 2012, TOVAR, was undergoing the JAIL's processing procedure. TOVAR was riding his bicycle on Valencia Ave., near Harbor Blvd., in Fullerton, CA, when an unidentified truck (later determined to be an unmarked police vehicle of the CITY and FPD), drove up behind him. TOVAR, fearing for his safety, rode his bicycle off the main road, in an attempt to get away from the unidentified truck. The unmarked police vehicle, operated by defendant DOE OFFICER OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10 continued to follow TOVAR down an alleyway off of Harbor Blvd., near Ash. As TOVAR sped down the alleyway, the unmarked police vehicle sped up behind TOVAR impacting the rear of TOVAR's bicycle, sending TOVAR flying off the bicycle, resulting in TOVAR's head, face and

- 89. Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10 inclusive, were acting in the course and scope of their employment with the CITY and/or FPD, when they actually physically attacked TOVAR.
- 90. Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10 did not have any probable cause or reason to pursue, chase down and/or physically assault Plaintiff TOVAR, with their unmarked police vehicle, on August 11, 2012.
- 91. The actions of Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10, constituted an intentional, unlawful, harmful and offensive contact with TOVAR's person. By reason of the wrongful and malicious acts of Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10, TOVAR was injured in health, strength, and activity and has suffered extreme mental anguish and physical pain.
- 92. As a direct and proximate result of said tortious acts, and omissions or conduct of the Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10, and each of them, Plaintiff TOVAR has sustained and incurred, and are certain in the future to sustain and incur, losses, injuries and damages which are itemized as follows:
 - a. Serious and severe personal injuries;
 - b. Expenses for medical procedures, medical care and treatment;
 - c. Loss of future earnings and earnings' potential;
- d. General damages for pain, suffering, anguish, discomfort, severe emotional distress, anxiety, worry and mental suffering, loss of enjoyment of life, and loss of ability to engage in normal and customary life activities;
 - e. Expenses for future medical care, treatment and healing;
- f. Other and further damages not specifically enumerated but for which Plaintiff will seek leave of court to amend according to proof at the time of trial; and
 - 93. The aforementioned acts of Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD

and/or DOES 1-10, and each of them, were willful, malicious and oppressive, without legal justification or legal authority and thereby justify the awarding of punitive damages in a sum according to proof.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENCE

[By Plaintiff Against Defendant Doe Officers of the FPD And/or Does 1-10]

- 94. Plaintiff TOVAR hereby incorporates each and every of the preceding paragraphs, as though set forth fully herein.
- 95. At all times herein mentioned, Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10, and each of them, had a duty to refrain from engaging in actions in which there was a lack of due care as to Plaintiff TOVAR's safety and physical security.
- 96. At all times herein mentioned, Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10 inclusive, while working within the course and scope of their employment with defendants CITY and FPD, failed to exercise due care and breached their duty of due care by allowing TOVAR to be assaulted and battered as aforesaid, when they pursued TOVAR on his bicycle and impacted him with their unmarked police vehicle, on August 11, 2012.
- 97. On August 11, 2012, Plaintiff TOVAR is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10 inclusive, and each of them, negligently breached their duty of due care to Plaintiff TOVAR by pursuing and chasing down TOVAR and/or physically assaulting Plaintiff TOVAR, with their unmarked police vehicle, on August 11, 2012. and causing Plaintiff TOVAR to be seriously injured.
- 98. In doing the aforementioned acts, Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10 inclusive, and each of them, conduct was outrageous,

malicious, and done with reckless disregard of the probability that Plaintiff TOVAR would suffer extreme physical pain, emotional and mental distress, fear, anxiety, and mental anguish.

- 99. As a direct and proximate result of said tortious acts, and omissions or conduct of the Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10, and each of them, Plaintiff TOVAR has sustained and incurred, and is certain in the future to sustain and incur, losses, injuries an damages which are itemized as follows:
 - a. Serious and severe personal injuries;

- b. Expenses for medical procedures, medical care and treatment;
- c. Loss of future earnings and earnings capacity;
- d. General damages for pain, suffering, anguish, discomfort, severe emotional distress, anxiety, worry and mental suffering, loss of enjoyment of life, and loss of ability to engage in normal and customary life activities;
 - e. Expenses for future medical care, treatment and healing; and
- f. Other and further damages not specifically enumerated but for which Plaintiff will seek leave of court to amend according to proof at the time of trial.
- 100. Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10, engaged in malicious, willful, oppressive and despicable conduct as herein alleged and acted with a conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights and with an intent to vex, injure or annoy Plaintiff TOVAR such as to constitute oppression, fraud or malice in violation of Civil Code Section 3294. Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10, engaged in a conscious disregard of Plaintiff's safety and thereby directly caused injuries and damages to Plaintiff. Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10, are liable for punitive damages in amount sufficient to punish and make an example of Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10, as a result of his malicious, willful, oppressive and despicable conduct as herein

alleged.

2

1

3

5

7

8 9

1011

12 13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, TOVAR prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, for each cause of action, as follows:

- 1. For all special damages including, but not limited to, lost wages and future earning capacity;
- 2. For all general damages including, but not limited to, damages for pain, suffering, anguish, discomfort, severe emotional distress, disgust, terror, fright, anger, anxiety, worry, nervousness, shock, anguish and mental suffering, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of ability to engage in normal and customary activities, loss of comfort, society, care and companionship;
- 3. For other and further special damages in a sum according to proof at the time of trial;
- 4. For other and further general damages in a sum according to proof at the time of trial;
 - 5. For prejudgment interest according to proof;
- 6. For punitive damages against Defendant DOE OFFICERS OF THE FPD and/or DOES 1-10, in an amount according to proof at the time of trial;
 - 7. For costs of suit incurred herein;
 - 8. For other and further relief as this court may deem just and proper; and
- 9. For legal fees, expenses and costs incurred in prosecution in the present action for violation of Civil Rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. section 1988.
 - 10. For reasonable Attorneys' Fees and Costs to Plaintiff, pursuant to

Civil Code §52;

Dated: April 19, 2013

By:

SAYRE & LEVITT, LLP Federico C. Sayre, Esq. Adam L. Salamoff, Esq.

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

EXHIBIT "A"

EXHIBIT "A"



FILE COMPLETED FORM BY MAIL OR IN PERSON AT:

CITY OF FULLERTON City Clerk's Office 303 W. Commonwealth Avenue Fullerton, CA 92832

OFFICE USE ONLY RESERVE FOR FILING STAMP

CLAIM FOR DAMAGES

TO PERSON OR PROPERTY

CLAIM NO.

INS	rri	ICT	ION	JS

- 1. Claim for death, injury to person or to personal property must be filed no later than six months after the occurrence (Gov. Code Sec. 911.2).
- 2. Claims for damages to real property must be filed no later than 1 year after the occurrence (Gov. Code Sec. 911.2).

3. Read entire claim form before filing.

See page 2 for diagram upon which to depict loca THIS CLAIM FORM MUST BE SIGNED AND DA	TED ON PAGE	2 AT BOTTOM.	
6. Attach separate sheets, if necessary, to give full details. SIGN EACH SHEET. TO: CITY OF FULLERTON			Date of Birth of Claimant 4/7/75
Name of Claimant			Occupation of Claimant
David Tovar			Unemployed
Home Address of Claimant	City	, State & Zip	Home Telephone Number
400 W. Orangethorpe Ave., Apt. 201A	Fullert	on, CA 92832	()
Business Address of Claimant		, State & Zip	Business Telephone Number
Dustriess Address of Clarifiant	•	·	()
t t and tolor	hana numbar ta	which you desire notices	Cellular Telephone Number
If different from above state name, address and telep or communications to be sent regarding this claim:	Hotte Humber to	Willon you desire notices	(714) 615-5875
Federico C. Sayre, Esq., Sayre & Levitt, LLP, 333 C	ivic Ctr. Dr. We	st, Santa Ana, CA 92701	() 0 0 0 0
(714) 550-9117		•	
When did DAMAGE or INJURY occur? Date 8/11/12 Time 11:45 A.M.		DAMAGE Unknown Officers of	bloyees involved in INJURY or the City of Fullerton Police
If claim is for Equitable Indemnity, give date claimathe complaint:	ni serveu wiin	Department	
Date	U	- diamena an rayaraa aida	of this shoot. Where appropriate
Where did DAMAGE or INJURY occur? Describe ful give street names and address and distances from la The Incident occurred on S. Harbor Blvd., between Ash Ave., and Ros	andmarks:		or this sheet. Where appropriate,
Describe in detail how the DAMAGE or INJURY occu Mr. Tovar was riding his bicycle, when he was pursued, for	no apparent reas	son, by an unmarked Fullerton	Police Vehicle. The Fullerton Police
Vehicle did not identify itself to Mr. Tovar, who attempted to	o flee in fear for h	is life. The Fullerton Police Ve	inicle accelerated its pursuit of ivir.
Tovar, ultimately impacting Mr. Tovar and/or his bicycle, se		lying off of his bicycle, resultin	g in ivir. Tovar's nead impacting the
concrete curb, losing consciousness and sustaining other i	bodily injuries.		•
Why do you claim the City is responsible? Mr. Tovar was riding his bicycle when he began to be pursued by an	unmarked and unide	entified Fullerton Police Vehicle. Mr	. Tovar fled in fear, until the Fullerton Police
Vehicle impacted him and/or his bicycle, knocking Mr. Tovar off of his Describe in detail each INJURY or DAMAGE: The full extent of Mr. Tovar's injuries and unknown at this time with sustaining a swollen shut right eye, loss of consciousnes:	e. Mr. Tovar susta	ined a deep laceration above his	right eye, necessitating sutures, along

THIS CLAIM MUST BE SIGNED ON REVERSE SIDE

00 4-	Claimant	
111.7	CHAILIIGH	

and emotional distress.

The amount claimed, as of the	date of presentation of this o	claim, is computed as follows:		
Damages incurred to date (ex	act):	Estimated prospective da		
Damage to property Expenses for medical/hospital Loss of earnings	\$ 400.00 care\$ TBD \$ TBD	Future expenses for med Future loss of earnings Other prospective dama		5 TBD
Total damages incurred to TOTAL AMOUNT Was damage and/or injury inv Were paramedics or an ambu If injured, state date, time, nar St. Jude Medical Center, 101 East Valen	date	Total estimated prosperse. PRESENTATION OF THIS CLA Fso, what city? FULLERTON ame city or ambulance UNKNOWN your first visit:	IM \$_1,000,000 Case No	
Norman University of this time	Δddraee		Phone ()	
Nama	Address			
Name	Address		Phone ()	
DOCTORS and HOSPITAL:				
Hospital St. Jude Medical Center Doctor Western Medical Center Doctor	ter Address 101 E. Val Address Anaheim, Address	lencia Mesa Dr., Fullerton, CA CA	Date Hospitalized Date of Treatmen Date of Treatmen	1 8/13/12 ht 8/13/12
accident by "X" and by showi location of City vehicle when Indicate place of City vehicle 1" and the point of impact by	ng house numbers or distance n you first saw it, and by "B at time of accident by "A-1":	mes of streets, including North, S ces to street corners. If city vehic " location of yourself or your ve and location of yourself or your ve im below does not fit the situation	the was involved, det whicle when you firs ehicle at the time of	t saw City vehicle.
claimant.	Sign Sign Sign Sign Sign Sign Sign Sign	2	PW/bW	
iorpe Ave			.f.inpact from Fullerton Police U	rehicle
Orwaet		S. Harbor B		
Signature of Claimant or p Claimant (give relationship to	person filing on behalf of collamant):	Type or Print Name: Federico C. Sayre, Esq.		Date: 8/29/12
- Stanne	Jayre 1		<u></u>	
NOTE: CLAIMS MUST F	RE FILED IN THE CITY CL	_ERK'S OFFICE (Gov. Code	Sec. 915.A) PRES	ENTATION OF A

NOTE: CLAIMS MUST BE FILED IN THE CITY CLERK'S OFFICE (Gov. Code Sec. 915.A) PRESENTATION OF A FALSE CLAIM IS A FELONY (Pen. Code Sec. 72).

CC to Claimant

1233	USAPOSIAIS GERILIALED POPUSICULAR FOR CRIVEN VIII COLLE) (NIVAVIL 1172 No In	RE	CEIRT Goverage at www.	Provided escond	
0 0001 8279	Postage Certifled Fee Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required) Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	\$			Postmark Here	
7008 2870	Sent To	City Close 303 W.	erk's O Comn	LLERT ffice nonweal ifornia	th Aver	nue

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
 Complete Items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: 	A. Signature X
CITY OF FULLERTON City Clerk's Office 303 W. Commonwealth Avenue Fullerton, California 92832	3. Service Type Certified Mall Registered Insured Mall C.O.D. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7008 281	0 0001 8279 1233

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE

2.8

I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this action. My business address is 333 Civic Center Drive West, Santa Ana, California 92701.

On April 22, 2013, I served the foregoing documents entitled: **FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT**, on all interested parties to this action by placing true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as follows:

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST
BY MAIL: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Santa Ana, California, in the ordinary court of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid it postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
BY ELECTRONIC MAIL: I transmitted the document(s) listed above electronically to the e-mail addresses listed above. I am readily familiar with the firm's Microsoft Outlook e-mail system, and the transmission was reported as complete, without error.
BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I caused such envelope(s) to be delivered by hand to the office of the addressee(s).
BY FEDERAL EXPRESS: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for overnight delivery. Under that practice it would be deposited with the express service carrier on that same day, in an envelope or package designated by the express service carrier with delivery fees provided for, at Santa Ana, California, in the ordinary course of business.
BY FAX: I transmitted the foregoing document by facsimile transmission from (714) 716-8445 to the facsimile numbers indicated on the attached mailing list. The transmission was reported as complete and without error on the transmission report, which was properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine. (Exhibits not faxed, are overnighted)
FEDERAL: I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.
STATE: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.
EXECUTED on April 22 2013, in Santa Ana, California.
Elsa V. Rivera Type OR PRINT NAME SIGNATURE

SERVICE LIST

Denise L. Rocawich, Esq.	Attorney for Defendant
Jim Touchstone, Esq.	CITY OF FULLERTON
Jones & Mayer	
3777 North Harbor Boulevard	
Fullerton, CA 92835	·
T: (714) 446-1400	
F: (714) 446-1448	