

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

2425

26

27 28 NEIL C. EVANS (#105669) LAW OFFICES OF NEIL C. EVANS 13351 D Riverside Drive, Ste. 612 Sherman Oaks, California 91423 (818) 802-8333

Attorney for Plaintiff SAFEWAY TOWING SERVICES, INC. dba BOB'S TOWING

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER

JAN 13 2012

ALAN CARLSON, Glerk of the Court

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE

30-2012

SAFEWAY TOWING SERVICES, INC. dba BOB'S TOWING,

CASE NO.:

00537303

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTION AND WRIT OF MANDATE

JUDGE JOHN C. GASTELUM

Plaintiff,

vs.

CITY OF FULLERTON; FULLERTON POLICE DEPARTMENT; AND DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF ALLEGES:

1. Plaintiff SAFEWAY TOWING SERVICES, INC.

dba BOB'S TOWING ("Plaintiff" or "BOB'S") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a California corporation authorized to do and doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of

- 2. Defendant CITY OF FULLERTON ("FULLERTON"), is a municipal corporation and/or a Charter City, in the County of Orange, State of California. Defendant FULLERTON POLICE DEPARTMENT ("FULLERTON PD") is an agency of FULLERTON.
- 3. The true identities and capacities of
 Defendants DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, are unknown
 to Plaintiff at this time. Accordingly, Plaintiff is
 informed and believes and thereon alleges that such DOE
 Defendants are in some manner responsible for some or all
 of the acts and omissions alleged herein. Plaintiff will
 seek leave to amend this Complaint to state the true
 identity and capacity of such DOE Defendants when such
 facts become known to Plaintiff.
- 4. At all times material herein, each

 Defendant was the principal, agent, servant, representative

 or employee of each of the remaining Defendants, and in

 doing the things hereinafter alleged, was acting within

 the course and scope of such employment, agency, or

 relationship.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

[For Injunctive Relief Against All Defendants]

- 5. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each of the allegations contained In paragraphs 1 through 4, inclusive, and incorporates the same herein by reference.
- 6. Commencing in or around January, 2010, Plaintiff communicated verbally and in writing its interest in becoming an Official Towing Company for the City of Fullerton, to members of the Fullerton City Government, including members of the City Council, the City Manager, and members of the Fullerton Police Department. Prior to January, 2010, Fullerton had never provided any bidding process for police towing services, and there had been a monopoly by one towing company for over 50 years in Fullerton. Plaintiff brought this fact to the forefront with the same persons mentioned herein, and encouraged Fullerton's official representatives to place the contract for police towing services out to bid.
- 7. In or about January, 2010, Plaintiff became the only Towing Company with a physical location in the City of Fullerton, and Plaintiff spent more than \$450,000.00 to establish a physical location and to be in full compliance with all facility, equipment and tow truck requirements to serve the City of Fullerton.
- 8. Once Plaintiff's presence as a Towing Company and as a potential applicant for the Fullerton Towing Contract became known in 2010 to the City of Fullerton and Fullerton Police Department, the Fullerton Police Department through its field police officers embarked upon a course of conduct to harass and intimidate Plaintiff and its Tow Truck Drivers, to both discourage Plaintiff's business activities in Fullerton, and to undermine Plaintiff's opportunity to bid on the police towing services contract. As part of this harassment, during 2010, Fullerton Police Officers continuously pulled over Plaintiff's tow trucks for over an hour at a time, issuing

more than 40 frivolous traffic tickets - tickets which were never issued to the

existing Tow Operator (Three Guys Towing). One example was the improper issuance

9. Prior to April, 2011, Plaintiff submitted a written proposal in response to the Fullerton Towing Contract Request for Proposal, and the City of Fullerton and Fullerton Police Department have deliberately, maliciously, and without any legitimate basis, provided short shrift and inadequate consideration of

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff's proposal. By way of example, Plaintiff has learned that the Fullerton Police Department spent 14 hours to inspect 12 trucks at a competing applicant, Anaheim/Fullerton Towing, but refused to spend an equal or equivalent amount of time inspecting Plaintiff's trucks, only inspecting 6 of Plaintiff's trucks when many more were available for inspection and listed in Plaintiff's response to the RFP, and frivolously failing said 6 trucks which had passed an identical format California Highway Patrol inspection just one week before.

- Hagen and Ledbetter, have personally harassed Plaintiff's employees, using recording devices during their contacts but turning the recording devices on and off so what they say to Plaintiff's employees is "off the record." Plaintiff has learned that these same Fullerton Police Department Officers have, without provocation or justification, contacted other police agencies which Plaintiff works with in the Towing Industry, to "bad mouth" Plaintiff to these other agencies. These same officers will follow Plaintiff's Tow Trucks to intimidate the drivers even when tickets are not issued.
- 11. The foregoing actions, individually or collectively, are a violation of Plaintiff's rights to equal protection under the laws of the State of California and United States of America, and a violation of other Federally and State Protected Constitutional Rights.
- 12. There are no administrative remedies available to Plaintiff to challenge these harassing and improper actions by the City of Fullerton and Fullerton Police Department.
- 13. Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable harm from the foregoing harassment and misconduct by the Defendants, and each of them. Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable harm to its business operations, loss of employees, loss of reputation and good will, loss of income necessary to maintain its business operations.

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

14. Plaintiff will also not receive adequate or proper consideration of its response to the Request for Proposal, as indicated by the failure and refusal of the City and Police Department to properly evaluate and inspect Plaintiff's vehicles and facility, without Court intervention.

- 15. There is no adequate legal remedy to address or redress the foregoing violations of Plaintiff's rights.
- 16. Plaintiff therefore seeks a temporary restraining order, preliminary and permanent injunctions, to prevent the City of Fullerton and Fullerton Police Department from continuing the foregoing wrongful harassment and conduct, from failing to provide adequate or proper consideration of Plaintiff's response to the Request For Proposal, and from taking other improper actions causing irreparable harm to Plaintiff.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(FOR WRIT OF MANDATE AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)

- 17. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 16, inclusive, and incorporates the same herein by this reference.
- 18. Defendants City of Fullerton and Fullerton Police Department have a clear, ministerial duty to not harass and/or mistreat Plaintiff and its employees, to provide adequate and proper consideration of Plaintiff's response to the Request for Proposal, and to refrain from taking other improper actions causing irreparable harm to Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF PRAYS FOR RELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

1. On the First Cause of action, for a temporary restraining order, Preliminary and permanent injunction and/or writ of mandate, directing and ordering Defendants City of Fullerton and Fullerton Police Department, to cease and desist from harassing, intimidating, and otherwise mistreating Plaintiff and its employees in the manner alleged herein, and to cease and desist from failing and refusing to adequately

and properly consider Plaintiff's response to the Request for Proposal for the Towing Services Contract;

- 2. On the Second Cause of Action, for peremptory writ of mandate, directing and ordering the City of Fullerton and Fullerton Police Department to adequately and properly consider Plaintiff's response to the Request for Proposal for the Towing Services Contract and to cease and desist from harassing, intimidating, and otherwise mistreating Plaintiff and its employees;
- 3. For Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs of suit as allowed by law, under the Private Attorney General Statute or otherwise; and
- 4. For such other and further relief as is consistent with the case made by the foregoing Complaint and embraced within the issues. Dated: 1/13/12

By: Leel Cvam